

AUG 14 2003
TC 170Q_{IPE}

Practitioner's Docket

AF/174

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Jerome H. Ludwig

Application No.:

09/410,916

Group No.:

44,160

Filed:

October 1, 1999

Examiner:

Monzer R. Chorbaji

For:

STERILIZATION OF FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEMS

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

22313-1450 Alexandria VA

> NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. § 1.191)

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 93 (Oct. 10, 1997).

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed; or (2) identify the appealed claims. 62 Fed. Reg. 53,131, at 53,167; 1203 O.G. 63, at 94 (Oct. 10, 1997).

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner,

mailed April 7, 2003, finally rejecting claims 23-31

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

other than a small entity.

a small entity.

The PTO did not receive the following listed item(s) (# Chek 215

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

(mandatory) Mailing Label No.

TRANSMISSION

☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703)

Date: August 6, 2003

Josephic

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Notice of Appeal from the Primary Examiner to Board [9-6]-page 1 of 3)

08/13/2003 MBIZUNES 00000047 233000 09410916

01 FC:2251 02 FC:2401

55.00 DA

160.00 DA

2 555	FOR FILING NOTICE OF	APPEAL			
	ant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(b),	•	Notice of Appea	al is:	
7 0.30				\$160.00	
<u>Б</u>		v .		\$320.00	
_	outor analy a circum crims		Appeal fee due	\$ 160.00	
	ENSION OF TERM				
NOTE:	NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) " an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."				
NOTE:	The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 37 C.F.R. § 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extension of time in reexamination proceedings).				
	(comp	lete (a) or (b), as app	olicable)		
§ 1.136	oroceedings herein are for apply. Applicant petitions for (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17	an extension of time	e under 37 C.F.R.	. § 1.136	
		ee for other than	Fee for		
	Extension (months)	small entity	small entity		
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00		
	two months	\$ 410.00	\$ 205.00		
	three months	\$ 930.00 \$ 1.450.00	\$ 465.00 \$ 725.00		
	four months	\$ 1,450.00	\$ 725.00		
		Fee:	\$ 55.00		
If an	additional extension of tir	ne is required, pleas	e consider this a	petition therefor.	
	(check and c	omplete the next ite	m, if applicable)		
	therefor of \$	months ha	ducted from the to	cured. The fee paid otal fee due for the	
	Extension	on fee due with this	request \$		
		or			
(b)	 Applicant believes that tional petition is being inadvertently overlook 	g made to provide f ed the need for a pe	or the possibility etition and fee for	that applicant has extension of time.	
	(Noti	ce of Appeal from the Pr	imary Examiner to Bo	ard [9-6]—page 2 of 3)	

4. TOTAL FEE DUE				
The total fee due is:				
Notice of Appeal fee \$ 160.00				
Extension fee (if any) \$				
TOTAL FEE DUE \$ _215.00				
5. FEE PAYMENT				
$f x$ Attached is a $f x$ check $oxdot$ money order in the amount of \$\frac{215.00}{}				
Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$				
to Deposit Account No.				
to Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information authorization form PTO-2038.				
WARNING: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.				
Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above.				
A duplicate of this paper is attached.				
6. FEE DEFICIENCY				
NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. 31-33.				
■ If any additional extension and/or fee is required,				
AND/OR				
If any additional fee for claims is required, charge:				
Deposit Account No. <u>23-3000</u>				
 Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information authorization form PTO-2038. 				
WARNING: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.				
Date: August 6, 2003 David J. Josephic				
Reg. No.: 22,849 (type or pfint name of practitioner) Wood, Herron & Evans, L.L.P. 2700 Carew Tower				
P.O. Address Customer No.: 441 Vine Street Cincinnati OH 45202				